EXHIBIT G

In The Matter Of:

ASARCO, LLC v. NL INDUSTRIES, INC.

GRIMAILA, ROBERT - Vol. 1 November 7, 2013

MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

20750 Ventura Boulevard Suite 205 Woodland Hills, CA 91364 Phone: 818.593.2300 Fax: 818.593.2301

EASTERN I	O STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MISSOURI STERN DIVISION
ASARCO, LLC, PLAINTIFF,) CASE NO. 4:11-CV-00864 JAR
VS.) VIDEOTAPE) DEPOSITION OF) ROBERT GRIMAILA
NL INDUSTRIES, INC., ET AL., DEFENDANTS.)))
	,

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF ROBERT GRIMAILA, taken before Mary Lou Harmon, RPR, CRR, CSR(IA), CCR, General Notary Public within and for the State of Nebraska, beginning at 9:05 a.m., on the 7th day of November 2013, at Cassem, Tierney, Adams, Gotch & Douglas, Suite 302, 9290 West Dodge Road, Omaha, Nebraska.

```
1
                      APPEARANCES
 2
     FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
     MR. GREGORY EVANS
     INTEGER LAW CORPORATION
     633 West Fifth Street
 4
     Floor 67
     Los Angeles, CA 90071
 5
     (213)892-4488 FAX(213)627-2579
     gevans@integerlegal.com
 6
     FOR DEFENDANT UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY:
 7
     MS. CAROLYN L. MCINTOSH
     MS. MAXINE MARTIN
                                        (via telephone)
     PATTON BOGGS, LLP
     1801 California Street
 9
     Suite 4900
     Denver, CO 80202
10
     (303)894-6127 FAX(303)894-9239
     cmcintosh@pattonboggs.com
11
     MS. MELISSA B. HAGAN
     Union Pacific Railroad Company
12
     1001 McKinney
13
     Suite 900
     Houston, Texas
14
     mbhagan@up.com
15
     MR. NORTON A. COLVIN, JR.
     COLVIN, CHANEY, SAENZ & RODRIGUEZ, LLP
16
     1201 East Van Buren Street
     P.O. Box 2155
     Brownsville, TX 78522
17
     (956) 542-7441 FAX (956) 541-2170
18
     na.colvin@rcclaw.com
19
     FOR THE DEFENDANT ANSCHUTZ MINING CORPORATION:
     MR. WINSTON E. CALVERT
                                        (via telephone)
20
     ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP
     7700 Forsyth Boulevard
21
     Suite 1800
     St. Louis, MO 63105
22
     (314)259-4752 FAX(314)552-4883
     wcalvert@armstrongteasdale.com
23
24
25
```

```
1
                         APPEARANCES, CONT'D
     FOR THE DEFENDANT NL INDUSTRIES:
     MR. JOEL L. HERZ
                                          (via telephone)
 3
     LAW OFFICES OF JOEL L. HERZ
     3573 E. Sunrise Drive
 4
     Suite 215
     Tucson, AZ 85718
     (520) 529-8080
 5
     joel@joelherz.com
 6
 7
     Also Present: Mr. John Powers (via telephone)
                    Mr. Jay Rollins - Videographer
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	I N D E X		
2	PAGE		
3	CASE CAPTION1 APPEARANCES2-3		
4	DEPOSITION INDEX		
5	COURT REPORTER NOTARY PUBLIC CERTIFICATE357		
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. EVANS:8		
7	EXHIBITS: MARKED		
8	EXHIBIT 1. UP's Answer to Complaint43		
9	EXHIBIT 1A. Notice174		
10	Exhibit 2. Chronological History174		
11	Exhibit 3. Union Pacific in Missouri195		
12	Exhibit 4. NewFields' Report200		
13	Exhibit 5. Photograph239		
14	Exhibit 6. Photograph239		
15	Exhibit 7. Various Articles246		
16	Exhibit 8. Railway Age Gazette Article266		
17	Exhibit 9. Public Service Commission273		
18	Exhibit 10. State Geologist Report282		
19	Exhibit 11. Map284		
20	Exhibit 13. Letter Dated 11/30/00293		
21	Exhibit 14. Agreement and Covenant308		
22	Exhibit 15. Photographs311		
23	Exhibit 16. Letter Dated 12/5/03322		
24	Exhibit 17. Map325		
25	Exhibit 18. Solids Sampling327		

1	Exhibit 19.	Letter Dated 11/4/13336
2	Exhibit 20.	Letter Dated 11/4/13336
3	Exhibit 21.	Finance Docket No. 7446340
4	Exhibit 22.	Finance Docket 14897341
5	Exhibit 23.	Photographs342
6	Exhibit 24.	Photograph346
7	Exhibit 25.	Photograph354
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 BY MR. EVANS: 2 Have you ever had inter-railroad meetings to 3 discuss items of concern to the Union Pacific that might 4 be of concern to the BNSF with Mr. Schultz --MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The 5 question --6 7 BY MR. EVANS: 8 O. -- at BNSF? 9 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. The question 10 is vague. 11 THE WITNESS: I got lost in the question back and forth, so could you read it back to me or 12 13 rephrase it, please? 14 MR. EVANS: Let's have it read back. 15 It's Schulte; right, or is it Schultz? 16 THE WITNESS: The person we're talking 17 about, Mark Schultz is the way --18 MR. EVANS: Schultz, okay. 19 THE WITNESS: -- to pronounce it. 20 BY MR. EVANS: 21 I'm just going to ask the question again to 22 move it along.

regarding safety issues affecting both railroads?

23

24

25

Have you ever had meetings with Mr. Schultz

MS. MCINTOSH: I object to relevance.

- 1 Please go ahead.
- 2 THE WITNESS: I don't recall hearing
- 3 about this before, no.
- 4 BY MR. EVANS:
- 5 Q. Thank you. Let me refer you to next -- I just
- 6 want to double check something. Excuse me a second.
- 7 -- to the 1982 entry where it says, the UP, MP and WP
- 8 merger was approved by the ICC.
- 9 Do you know what that entry refers to?
- 10 A. I believe it's just what it says.
- 11 Q. Can you tell us, based on your professional
- 12 position with Union Pacific, what -- for the court that
- might not understand what UP, dash, MP, dash, WP means?
- 14 A. To me those letters mean Union Pacific,
- 15 Missouri Pacific, and Western Pacific.
- 16 Q. Merged, and that merger was approved by the
- 17 ICC?
- 18 MS. MCINTOSH: I object that that calls
- 19 for a legal conclusion.
- 20 THE WITNESS: That's what the bullet
- 21 point says, yes.
- 22 BY MR. EVANS:
- Q. Okay. Let me refer you now to the next page,
- 24 Bates stamp 1086, under the 1997 entry, where it states,
- 25 "On January 1, the Missouri Pacific Railroad legally

- 1 merges into Union Pacific Railroad, with UPRR remaining
- 2 as the surviving corporation."
- 3 Is that a true statement?
- 4 MS. MCINTOSH: Objection that it calls
- 5 for a legal conclusion.
- 6 THE WITNESS: It's true that the bullet
- 7 says that. I'm not an expert on the legal merger
- 8 proceedings.
- 9 BY MR. EVANS:
- 10 Q. Was it your experience, I think as you've
- 11 testified already, that Missouri Pacific merged into the
- 12 Union Pacific?
- MS. MCINTOSH: Objection. It
- 14 mischaracterizes Mr. Grimaila's prior testimony --
- MR. EVANS: Are you kidding?
- 16 MS. MCINTOSH: And your question calls
- 17 for a legal conclusion.
- 18 BY MR. EVANS:
- 19 Q. Do you have the question in mind?
- 20 A. Yes. We were advised that we were merged into
- 21 the UP, yes.
- 22 Q. So you have personal knowledge of that?
- 23 A. I do.
- 24 Q. And as to the Missouri Pacific getting control
- of the St. Louis, Iron Mountain & Southern, you have no

- 1 BY MR. EVANS:
- 2 Q. This type of material being?
- 3 A. What you had mentioned in your question.
- 4 O. Which is?
- 5 A. Lead concentrate.
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 (Exhibit No. 7
- 8 marked for identification.)
- 9 BY MR. EVANS:
- 10 Q. Do you know whether Union Pacific railroads,
- 11 including all of its predecessor railroads, ever
- 12 transported any chat on its system in Missouri in open
- 13 cars?
- 14 MS. MCINTOSH: I object that that
- 15 question was asked and answered.
- 16 THE WITNESS: To repeat, I have no
- 17 knowledge of that, no.
- 18 BY MR. EVANS:
- 19 Q. Who would know at Union Pacific about its
- 20 practices in southeast Missouri?
- 21 Who's the most knowledgeable person at the
- 22 company regarding Union Pacific's practices in southeast
- 23 Missouri on these predecessor rail lines?
- A. I don't know. I would have to ask some
- 25 questions. I wouldn't know who to tap on that.

- 1 Q. Who would you ask?
- 2 A. I'd -- well, let me think about that for a
- 3 minute.
- What I would do is start with a law department
- 5 representative and see if they knew of sources of
- 6 information in the company that they would go to.
- 7 Q. Do you know whether Union Pacific has done
- 8 anything to contain runoff from any of its predecessor
- 9 lines in the state of Missouri from its right-of-way?
- MS. MCINTOSH: I object to the form of
- 11 the question. It calls for a legal conclusion. It also
- 12 calls for speculation.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I do not.
- 14 BY MR. EVANS:
- 15 Q. I assume Union Pacific has specific
- 16 containment practices as it relates to spills on rail
- 17 line?
- MS. MCINTOSH: I object to the form of
- 19 the question. It's also vague.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Each spill is addressed as
- 21 a unique event, and we do what we believe to be the
- 22 proper thing at that time.
- 23 BY MR. EVANS:
- Q. Is there a safety manual that is generated
- 25 regarding hazardous material spills on the Union

- 1 A. "Combined Environmental and Historic Report."
- 2 Q. Does it appear to have been prepared by Union
- 3 Pacific Railroad Company?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Have you ever heard the name James P. Gatlin,
- 6 general attorney, for Union Pacific?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is he still working for the company?
- 9 A. I don't believe so, but I don't know for sure.
- 10 Q. Is UP located -- its corporate headquarters on
- 11 1416 Dodge Street in Omaha, Nebraska?
- 12 A. No. We've changed addresses since then.
- 13 Q. That at one time was a UP address?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Referring you to Page 8 --
- MS. MCINTOSH: Excuse me?
- 17 BY MR. EVANS:
- 18 Q. Referring you to Page 8 of Exhibit 13, which
- 19 is Bates 28526.
- 20 Under the heading "Proposed Mitigation.
- 21 Describe any actions that are proposed to mitigate
- 22 adverse environmental impacts, including why the
- 23 proposed mitigation is appropriate."
- Do you see the response, sir?
- 25 A. I do.

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, Mary Lou Harmon, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the
4	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell
5	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
6	truth in the within-entitled cause;
7	That said deposition was taken down in
8	shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time
9	and place therein stated, and that the testimony of
10	the said witness was thereafter reduced to
11	typewriting, by computer, under my direction and
12	supervision;
13	That before completion of the deposition,
14	review of the transcript was requested. Any changes
15	made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter)
16	during the period allowed are appended hereto.
17	I further certify that I am not of counsel
18	or attorney for either or any of the parties to the
19	said deposition, nor in any way interested in the
20	event of this cause, and that I am not related to
21	any of the parties thereto.
22	DATED: November 13, 2013
23	MARY LOU HARMON, RPR, CRR
	CSR NO. 0112
24	My commission expires:
25	

Merrill Corporation - Los Angeles www.merrillcorp.com/law

800-826-0277